

## Equality, Good Relations and Human Rights Screening Template

**\*\*\*Completed Screening Templates are public documents and will be posted on the Trust's website\*\*\***

See [Guidance Notes](#) for further background information on the relevant legislation and for help in answering the questions on this template (follow the links).

### **(1) Information about the Policy/Proposal**

(1.1) Name of the policy/proposal

Gritting & Snow Clearing Policy

(1.2) Is this a new, existing or revised policy/proposal?

New policy

(1.3) What is it trying to achieve (intended aims/outcomes)?

The Estate Services Departments gritting and snow clearing policy provides for an efficient winter maintenance service. The operation of this policy ensures users of external areas are provided with safe and adequate access to hospitals, car parks, health centres, offices and all associated Trust buildings. The Trust has a legal obligation to ensure the safety of all members of staff and members of the public when using the buildings, footpaths and car parks on their property. Snow and ice may present risks to the continuation of the provision of services which are provided by the Trust. The Trust is required to demonstrate that it is acting reasonably in the event of snow and ice conditions and that it has plans to reduce the risks. Members of the public and staff accessing Trust facilities have a responsibility to take care of their own safety and only use areas that have been suitably gritted/salted providing a safe route to their destination. The purpose of the policy is to ensure that the Trust has considered the risks from snow and ice and has plans in place to reduce the risks.



(1.4) Are there any Section 75 categories (see list in 3.1) which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy/proposal?

No

(1.5) Who owns and who implements the policy/proposal - where does it originate, for example DHSSPS, HSCB?

Owned and implemented by SEHSCT Estates Operations Department

(1.6) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal/decision? (Financial, legislative or other constraints?)

No

(1.7) Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy/proposal/decision could impact upon? (staff, service users, other public sector organisations, , trade unions, professional bodies, independent sector, voluntary and community groups etc)

This policy applies to all buildings and grounds where the Trust provides services from, or are utilised by the Trust. The Trust provides its services from many buildings and has in place varying arrangements in relation to these. The information in this policy offers Trust staff guidance in relation to measures that should be taken to fulfil the Trusts duty of care. This policy does not address issues in relation to attendance for duty during periods of inclement weather or disruption to the public transport system.

(1.8) Other policies with a bearing on this policy/proposal (for example regional policies) - what are they and who owns them?

N/A

## (2) Available evidence

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

<b><i>Details of evidence/information</i></b>
Feedback from Key Trust Estates Operational staff. The policy follows safety legislation and associated codes of practice, existing Trust guidelines, policy and best practice.

## (3) Needs, experiences and priorities

(3.1) Taking into account the information above what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the Section 75 categories and for both service users and staff.

<b>Category</b>	<b>Needs, experiences and priorities</b>	
	<b><i>Service users</i></b>	<b><i>Staff</i></b>
Gender	N/A	N/A
Age	N/A	N/A
Religion	N/A	N/A
Political Opinion	N/A	N/A

Marital Status	N/A	N/A
Dependent Status	N/A	N/A
Disability	N/A	N/A
Ethnicity	N/A	N/A
Sexual Orientation	N/A	N/A

(3.2) Provide details of how you have involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users and staff etc when screening this policy/proposal.

Key Trust Estates Operational staff were consulted in the development of this policy which only follows legislation and best practice.

#### (4) Screening Questions

You now have to assess whether the impact of the policy/proposal is major, minor or none. You will need to make an informed judgement based on the information you have gathered.

**(4.1) What is the likely impact of equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy/proposal, for each of the Section 75 equality categories?**

Section 75 category	Details of policy/proposal impact		Level of impact? Minor/major/none
	Services Users	Staff	
Gender	N/A	N/A	None
Age	N/A	N/A	None
Religion	N/A	N/A	None

Political Opinion	N/A	N/A	None
Marital Status	N/A	N/A	None
Dependent Status	N/A	N/A	None
Disability	N/A	N/A	None
Ethnicity	N/A	N/A	None
Sexual Orientation	N/A	N/A	None

**(4.2) Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories?**

<b>Section 75 category</b>	<b>Please provide details</b>
Gender	None
Age	None
Religion	None
Political Opinion	None
Marital Status	None
Dependent Status	None
Disability	None
Ethnicity	None
Sexual Orientation	None

**(4.3) To what extent is the policy/proposal likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? minor/major/none**

<b>Good relations category</b>	<b>Details of policy/proposal impact</b>	<b>Level of impact Minor/major/none</b>
Religious belief		None
Political opinion		None
Racial group		None

**(4.4) Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?**

<b>Good relations category</b>	<b>Please provide details</b>
Religious belief	None
Political opinion	None
Racial group	None

## **(5) Consideration of Disability Duties**

**(5.1) How does the policy/proposal encourage disabled people to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?**

N/A

## (6) Consideration of Human Rights

(6.1) Does the policy/proposal affect anyone's Human Rights?

Complete for each of the articles

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact = human right interfered with or restricted	Neutral impact
Article 2 – Right to life			X
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			X
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			X
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			X
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			X
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law			X
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			X
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			X
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			X
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			X
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			X
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			X
1 <sup>st</sup> protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			X
1 <sup>st</sup> protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			X



**Please note: If you have identified potential negative impact in relation to any of the Articles in the table above, speak to your line manager and/or Equality Unit. It may also be necessary to seek legal advice.**

(6.2) Please outline any actions you will take to promote awareness of human rights and evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making processes.

None. This policy only follows legislation and associated codes of practice, existing Trust guidelines and best practice.

**(7) Screening Decision**

**(7.1) Given the answers in Section 4, how would you categorise the impacts of this policy/proposal?**

Major impact	
Minor impact	
No impact	X

**(7.2) Do you consider the policy/proposal needs to be subjected to ongoing screening**

Yes	
No	X

**(7.3) Do you think the policy/proposal should be subject to and Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)?**

Yes	
No	X



(7.4) Please give reasons for your decision and detail any mitigation considered.

This policy only follows legislation and associated codes of practice, existing Trust policy and best practice. There are no perceived adverse impact on any of the Section 75 groups.

## **(8) Monitoring**

Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy/proposal for equality of opportunity and good relations, disability duties and human rights?

- Estates meetings held regularly between Estates personnel and contractors and meetings with service users
- Various safety related Trust committees
- Feedback from Trust consultation
- Feedback from DATIX incident reports and investigations

Approved Lead Officer: Ciaran Hargan  
Position: Senior Estates Operations Manager  
Date: 27/11/2024  
Policy/proposal screened by: John McKinney Estates Fire, Risk,  
Governance & Quality Manager

**Please forward completed schedule to:**

**Susan Thompson**  
Equality Manager  
t: 07525 898850  
e: [susan.thompson@setrust.hscni.net](mailto:susan.thompson@setrust.hscni.net)