



Equality, Good Relations and Human Rights Screening Template

*****Completed Screening Templates are public documents and will be posted on the Trust's website*****

See [Guidance Notes](#) for further background information on the relevant legislation and for help in answering the questions on this template (follow the links).

(1) Information about the Policy/Proposal

(1.1) Name of the policy/proposal

***Clostridioides difficile* Management Policy**

(1.2) Is this a new, existing or revised policy/proposal?

Revision

(1.3) What is it trying to achieve (intended aims/outcomes)?

Clarify for staff the best practice management of patient with *Clostridium difficile* infection of carriage.

(1.4) Are there any Section 75 categories (see list in 3.1) which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy/proposal?

None expected

(1.5) Who owns and who implements the policy/proposal - where does it originate, for example DHSSPS, HSCB?

Doh-NI and professional bodies Based on National and best practice guidelines on *C difficile*



(1.6) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal/decision? (Financial, legislative or other constraints?)

None identified

(1.7) Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy/proposal/decision could impact upon? (staff, service users, other public sector organisations, , trade unions, professional bodies, independent sector, voluntary and community groups etc)

Intended to guide good practice of all staff involved in the treatment and care of patients with of CDI and CDI carriage and the advice given to patients

(1.7) Other policies with a bearing on this policy/proposal (for example regional policies) - what are they and who owns them?

DoH NI guidance on Clostridium difficile

(2) Available evidence

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

<i>Details of evidence/information</i>
<p>Treatment and management guidelines for Patient's with CDI or carriage and in isolation are monitored by the IPC team</p>

(3) Needs, experiences and priorities

(3.1) Taking into account the information above what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the Section 75 categories and for both service users and staff.

Category	Needs, experiences and priorities	
	Service users	Staff
Gender	None	None
Age	None	None
Religion	None	None
Political Opinion	None	None

Marital Status	None	None
Dependent Status	None	None
Disability	None	None
Ethnicity	None	None
Sexual Orientation	None	None

(3.2) Provide details of how you have involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users and staff etc when screening this policy/proposal.

Patients feedback to the IPC team and any situations that arise inform the IPC team of limitations of this guidance.

(4) Screening Questions

You now have to assess whether the impact of the policy/proposal is major, minor or none. You will need to make an informed judgement based on the information you have gathered.

(4.1) What is the likely impact of equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy/proposal, for each of the Section 75 equality categories?

Section 75 category	Details of policy/proposal impact		Level of impact? Minor/major/none
	Services Users	Staff	
Gender			None
Age			None
Religion			None



Political Opinion			None
Marital Status			None
Dependent Status			None
Disability			None
Ethnicity			None
Sexual Orientation			None

<i>(4.2) Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories?</i>	
<i>Section 75 category</i>	<i>Please provide details</i>
Gender	None
Age	None
Religion	None
Political Opinion	None
Marital Status	None
Dependent Status	None
Disability	None
Ethnicity	None
Sexual Orientation	None

(4.3) To what extent is the policy/proposal likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? minor/major/none

Good relations category	Details of policy/proposal impact	Level of impact Minor/major/none
Religious belief		None
Political opinion		None
Racial group		None

(4.4) Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

Good relations category	Please provide details
Religious belief	None
Political opinion	None
Racial group	None

(5) Consideration of Disability Duties

(5.1) How does the policy/proposal encourage disabled people to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?

This policy is aimed at treating and managing all patients regardless of disability in a timely and responsive manner.

(6) Consideration of Human Rights

(6.1) Does the policy/proposal affect anyone's Human Rights?

Complete for each of the articles

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact = human right interfered with or restricted	Neutral impact
Article 2 – Right to life			Neutral
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			Neutral
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			Neutral
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			Neutral
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			Neutral
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law			Neutral
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			Neutral
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			Neutral
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			Neutral
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			Neutral
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			Neutral
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			Neutral
1 st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			Neutral
1 st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			Neutral

Please note: If you have identified potential negative impact in relation to any of the Articles in the table above, speak to your line manager and/or Equality Unit. It may also be necessary to seek legal advice.

(6.2) Please outline any actions you will take to promote awareness of human rights and evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making processes.

N/A

(7) Screening Decision

(7.1) Given the answers in Section 4, how would you categorise the impacts of this policy/proposal?

Major impact	
Minor impact	
No impact	x

(7.2) Do you consider the policy/proposal needs to be subjected to ongoing screening

Yes	
No	x

(7.3) Do you think the policy/proposal should be subject to and Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)?

Yes	
No	x



(7.4) Please give reasons for your decision and detail any mitigation considered.

No major changes to previous versions, this policy is aimed at managing all patients with C.difficile Infection.

(8) Monitoring

Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy/proposal for equality of opportunity and good relations, disability duties and human rights?

IPC will monitor impact for each case of CDI and Carriage

Approved Lead Officer: Peter Johnston

Position: Lead Nurse IPC

Date: 17/02/2025

Policy/proposal screened by: Peter Johnston

Please forward completed schedule to:

Susan Thompson

Equality Manager

t: 07525 898850

e: susan.thompson@setrust.hscni.net