

Equality, Good Relations and Human Rights Screening Template

*****Completed Screening Templates are public documents and will be posted on the Trust's website*****

See [Guidance Notes](#) for further background information on the relevant legislation and for help in answering the questions on this template (follow the links).

(1) Information about the Policy/Proposal

(1.1) Name of the policy/proposal

Guideline for the investigation and management of headache during pregnancy and the puerperium

(1.2) Is this a new, existing or revised policy/proposal?

Revised

(1.3) What is it trying to achieve (intended aims/outcomes)?

A consistency of evidence based approach when managing these women in order to provide the best clinical care

(1.4) Are there any Section 75 categories (see list in 3.1) which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy/proposal?

Pregnant women

(1.5) Who owns and who implements the policy/proposal - where does it originate, for example DHSSPS, HSCB?

Woman and Child Health Directorate, South Esatern Trust



(1.6) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal/decision? (Financial, legislative or other constraints?)

None

(1.7) Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy/proposal/decision could impact upon? (staff, service users, other public sector organisations, , trade unions, professional bodies, independent sector, voluntary and community groups etc)

Staff, service users and their families

(1.7) Other policies with a bearing on this policy/proposal (for example regional policies) - what are they and who owns them?

Guideline (161) 2015 SET

Guideline (70) 2016 WACH

Guideline (57) 2016 WACH

(2) Available evidence

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

<i>Details of evidence/information</i>
Guideline (161) 2015 SET
Guideline (70) 2016 WACH
Guideline (57) 2016 WACH
NICE 150 Nov 2015
NICE 107 JAN 2011

(3) Needs, experiences and priorities

(3.1) Taking into account the information above what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the Section 75 categories and for both service users and staff.

Category	Needs, experiences and priorities	
	Service users	Staff
Gender	Women only	Unaffected
Age	Childbearing age only	Unaffected
Religion	Unaffected	Unaffected
Political Opinion	Unaffected	Unaffected

Marital Status	Unaffected	Unaffected
Dependent Status	Unaffected	Unaffected
Disability	Unaffected	Unaffected
Ethnicity	Unaffected	Unaffected
Sexual Orientation	Unaffected	Unaffected

(3.2) Provide details of how you have involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users and staff etc when screening this policy/proposal.

This guideline was circulated to all relevant clinical areas where a pregnant or postnatal woman with a headache might be cared for

(4) Screening Questions

You now have to assess whether the impact of the policy/proposal is major, minor or none. You will need to make an informed judgement based on the information you have gathered.

(4.1) What is the likely impact of equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy/proposal, for each of the Section 75 equality categories?

Section 75 category	Details of policy/proposal impact		Level of impact? Minor/major/none
	Services Users	Staff	
Gender	Major –women only	None	
Age	Major –childbearing age only	None	
Religion	None	None	

Political Opinion	None	None	
Marital Status	None	None	
Dependent Status	None	None	
Disability	None	None	
Ethnicity	None	None	
Sexual Orientation	None	None	

<i>(4.2) Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories?</i>	
<i>Section 75 category</i>	<i>Please provide details</i>
Gender	No
Age	No
Religion	N/A
Political Opinion	N/A
Marital Status	N/A
Dependent Status	N/A
Disability	N/A
Ethnicity	N/A
Sexual Orientation	N/A

(4.3) To what extent is the policy/proposal likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? minor/major/none

Good relations category	Details of policy/proposal impact	Level of impact Minor/major/none
Religious belief	None	None
Political opinion	None	None
Racial group	None	None

(4.4) Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

Good relations category	Please provide details
Religious belief	No
Political opinion	No
Racial group	no

(5) Consideration of Disability Duties

(5.1) How does the policy/proposal encourage disabled people to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?

N/A

(6) Consideration of Human Rights

(6.1) Does the policy/proposal affect anyone's Human Rights?

Complete for each of the articles

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact = human right interfered with or restricted	Neutral impact
Article 2 – Right to life			X
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			X
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			X
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			X
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			X
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law			X
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			X
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			X
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			X
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			X
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			X
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			X
1 st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			X
1 st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			X

Please note: If you have identified potential negative impact in relation to any of the Articles in the table above, speak to your line manager and/or Equality Unit. It may also be necessary to seek legal advice.

(6.2) Please outline any actions you will take to promote awareness of human rights and evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making processes.

N/A

(7) Screening Decision

(7.1) Given the answers in Section 4, how would you categorise the impacts of this policy/proposal?

Major impact	
Minor impact	
No impact	X

(7.2) Do you consider the policy/proposal needs to be subjected to ongoing screening

Yes	
No	X

(7.3) Do you think the policy/proposal should be subject to and Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)?

Yes	
No	X



(7.4) Please give reasons for your decision and detail any mitigation considered.

(8) Monitoring

Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy/proposal for equality of opportunity and good relations, disability duties and human rights?

This guideline does not affect anyone's human rights and doesn't affect anyone's equality of opportunity.

Approved Lead Officer: _____

Position: _____

Date: 16/07/2018

Policy/proposal screened by: Dr Kristine Steele

Please forward completed schedule to:

Susan Thompson

Equality Manager

Lough House, Ards Hospital

Newtownards

BT23 4AS

Telephone: 028 9151 2177

Textphone: 028 9151 0137

e: susan.thompson@setrust.hscni.net

Kathey Neill

Equality Officer

Lough House, Ards Hospital

Newtownards

BT23 4AS

Telephone: 028 9151 2122

Textphone: 028 9151 0137

e: kathey.neill@setrust.hscni.net