

Equality, Good Relations and Human RightsScreening Template

Completed Screening Templates are public documents and will be posted on the Trust's website

See <u>Guidance Notes</u> for further background information on the relevant legislation and for help in answering the questions on this template (follow the links).

(1) Information about the Policy/Proposal

(1.1) Name of the policy/proposal

Policy on the Management of Regional Block Infusions (except epidural)

- (1.2) Is this a new, existing or revised policy/proposal? **Revised**
- (1.3) What is it trying to achieve (intended aims/outcomes)?

To help ensure the safe and effective use of regional block analgesia for patients pain relief.

(1.4) Are there any Section 75 categories (see list in 3.1) which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy/proposal?

All patients regardless

(1.5) Who owns and who implements the policy/proposal - where does it originate, for example DHSSPS, HSCB?

SE Trust

(1.6) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal/decision? (Financial, legislative or other



constraints?)

Poor staff skill mix or staff shortages may affect the ability to care for this patient group

(1.7) Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy/proposal/decision could impact upon? (staff, service users, other public sector organisations, , trade unions, professional bodies, independent sector, voluntary and community groups etc)

Staff and patients

- (1.8) Other policies with a bearing on this policy/proposal (for example regional policies) what are they and who owns them?
 - SE Trust Medicines Policy
 - SE Trust Protocol for the administration of parenteral opioids



(2) Available evidence

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

Details of evidence/information

This guideline can be used to guide the care of all patients regardless of section 75 categories.

All stakeholders will be affected equally by this guideline and it will help to ensure that the service is delivered equally.

(3) Needs, experiences and priorities

(3.1) Taking into account the information above what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the Section 75 categories and for both service users and staff.

Category	Needs, experiences and priorities	
	Service users	Staff
Gender	No issues	No issues
Age	No issues	No issues
Religion	No issues	No issues
Political Opinion	No issues	No issues

Marital Status	No issues	No issues
Dependent Status	No issues	No issues
Disability	No issues	No issues
Ethnicity	No issues	No issues
Sexual Orientation	No issues	No issues

(3.2) Provide details of how you have involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users and staff etc when screening this policy/proposal.

This guideline was sent out for Trust wide consultation

(4) Screening Questions

You now have to assess whether the impact of the policy/proposal is major, minor or none. You will need to make an informed judgement based on the information you have gathered.

(4.1) What is the likely impact of equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy/proposal, for each of the Section 75 equality categories?			
Section 75 category	Details of policy/proposal impact		Level of impact? Minor/major/none
category	Services Users Staff		ininoi/majoi/mone
Gender			none
Age			none
Religion			none



Political		none
Opinion		
Marital		none
Status		
Dependent		none
Status		
Disability		none
Ethnicity		none
Sexual		none
Orientation		

(4.2) Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories?No		
Section 75 category	Please provide details	
Gender		
Age		
Religion		
Political Opinion		
Marital Status		
Dependent Status		
Disability		
Ethnicity		
Sexual Orientation		



(4.3) To what extent is the policy/proposal likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? minor/major/none			
Good	Details of policy/proposal Level of impact		
relations category	impact	Minor/major/none	
Religious belief		None	
Political		None	
opinion		None	
Racial group		None	
group			

(4.4) Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?			
Good relations category	Good relations category Please provide details		
Religious belief	NA		
Political opinion	NA		
Racial group	NA		

(5) Consideration of Disability Duties

(5.1) How does the policy/proposal encourage disabled people to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?
Not applicable



(6) Consideration of Human Rights

(6.1) Does the policy/proposal affect anyone's Human Rights? Complete for each of the articles

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact = human right interfered	Neutral impact
		with or restricted	
Article 2 – Right to life			X
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman			X
or degrading treatment or punishment			
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery,			X
servitude & forced or compulsory labour			
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			X
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			X
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law			Х
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			X
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			X
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			Х
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			X
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			X
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			Х
1 st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful			X
enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
1 st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			X



Please note: If you have identified potential negative impact in relation to any of the Articles in the table above, speak to your line manager and/or Equality Unit. It may also be necessary to seek legal advice.

(6.2) Please outline any actions you will take to promote awareness of human rights and evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making processes.

Not applicable as no effect on basic human rights

(7) Screening Decision

(7.1) Given the answers in Section 4, how would you categorise the impacts of this policy/proposal?

Major impact	
Minor impact	
No impact	X

(7.2) Do you consider the policy/proposal needs to be subjected to ongoing screening

Yes	
No	X

(7.3) Do you think the policy/proposal should be subject to and Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)?

Yes	
No	X



(7.4) Please give reasons for y considered.	our decision and detail any mitigation
This policy is equally applicable to all persons requiring it regardless of section 75 considerations	
(8) Monitoring	
•	itor the effect of the policy/proposal for equality of disability duties and human rights?
Ongoing and through review	or policy in 3 years
Approved Lead Officer:	Simon Higgs
Position:	Pain Services Manager
Date:	15 th April 2015
Policy/proposal screened by:	Simon Higgs
Please forward completed so	hedule to:
Susan Thompson	Suzanne McCartney
Equality Manager Lough House, Ards Hospital	Equality Manager Lagan Valley Hospital
Newtownards	Lisburn
RT23 4AS	BT28 1 IP

Telephone: 028 9151 2177

Telephone: 028 9266 5141 ext 2604



Textphone: 028 9151 0137 e: susan.thompson@setrust.hscni.net Textphone: 028 9263 3522

e: <u>suzanne.mccartney@setrust.hscni.net</u>