



Equality, Good Relations and Human Rights Screening Template

*****Completed Screening Templates are public documents and will be posted on the Trust's website*****

See [Guidance Notes](#) for further background information on the relevant legislation and for help in answering the questions on this template (follow the links).

(1) Information about the Policy/Proposal

(1.1) Oral Glucose Tolerance Policy for Adults

(People over the age of 18years and pregnant female; any age)

(1.2) Is this a new, existing or revised policy/proposal?

Revised policy

(1.3) What is it trying to achieve (intended aims/outcomes)?

To minimise risk to females over the age of 18yrs and pregnant females(of any age) requiring Oral Glucose Tolerance Test

(1.4) Are there any Section 75 categories (see list in 3.1) which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy/proposal?

Females >18yrs or pregnant females of any age

(1.5) Who owns and who implements the policy/proposal - where does it originate, for example DHSSPS, HSCB?

South Eastern Health & Social Care Trust



(1.6) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal/decision? (Financial, legislative or other constraints?)

No

(1.7) Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy/proposal/decision could impact upon? (staff, service users, other public sector organisations, , trade unions, professional bodies, independent sector, voluntary and community groups etc)

- Patients & clients being managed at South Eastern Health & Social Care Trust requiring management an Oral Glucose Tolerance Test
- Clinical staff working within the South Eastern Health & Social Care Trust

(1.8) Other policies with a bearing on this policy/proposal (for example regional policies) - what are they and who owns them?

-

(2) Available evidence

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

<i>Details of evidence/information</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No patient or client feedback • Normal trust consultation adhered to, policy amended accordingly

(3) Needs, experiences and priorities

(3.1) Taking into account the information above what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the Section 75 categories and for both service users and staff.

Category	Needs, experiences and priorities	
	Service users	Staff
Gender	N/A	N/A
Age	Adults (>18yrs)	N/A
Religion	N/A	N/A
Political Opinion	N/A	N/A

Marital Status	N/A	N/A
Dependent Status	N/A	N/A
Disability	N/A	N/A
Ethnicity	Trust will provide interpreter if required	N/A
Sexual Orientation	N/A	N/A

(3.2) Provide details of how you have involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users and staff etc when screening this policy/proposal.

Trust consultation process adhered to

(4) Screening Questions

You now have to assess whether the impact of the policy/proposal is major, minor or none. You will need to make an informed judgement based on the information you have gathered.

(4.1) What is the likely impact of equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy/proposal, for each of the Section 75 equality categories?			
Section 75 category	Details of policy/proposal impact		Level of impact? Minor/major/none
	Services Users	Staff	
Gender			none
Age			None
Religion			None

Political Opinion			None
Marital Status			None
Dependent Status			None
Disability			None
Ethnicity			None
Sexual Orientation			None

<i>(4.2) Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories?</i>	
<i>Section 75 category</i>	<i>Please provide details</i>
Gender	N
Age	N
Religion	N
Political Opinion	N
Marital Status	N
Dependent Status	N
Disability	N
Ethnicity	N
Sexual Orientation	N

(4.3) To what extent is the policy/proposal likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? minor/major/none

Good relations category	Details of policy/proposal impact	Level of impact Minor/major/none
Religious belief		None
Political opinion		None
Racial group		None

(4.4) Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

Good relations category	Please provide details
Religious belief	No
Political opinion	No
Racial group	No

(5) Consideration of Disability Duties

(5.1) How does the policy/proposal encourage disabled people to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?

This policy meets the needs of all disabled people, to enable them to participate fully in public life.

(6) Consideration of Human Rights

(6.1) Does the policy/proposal affect anyone's Human Rights?

Complete for each of the articles

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact = human right interfered with or restricted	Neutral impact
Article 2 – Right to life	Yes		
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law			
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.	yes		
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			
1 st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			
1 st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			

Please note: If you have identified potential negative impact in relation to any of the Articles in the table above, speak to your line manager and/or Equality Unit. It may also be necessary to seek legal advice.

(6.2) Please outline any actions you will take to promote awareness of human rights and evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making processes.

Undertaking staff training will promote human rights.

(7) Screening Decision

(7.1) Given the answers in Section 4, how would you categorise the impacts of this policy/proposal?

Major impact	
Minor impact	
No impact	x

(7.2) Do you consider the policy/proposal needs to be subjected to ongoing screening

Yes	
No	x

(7.3) Do you think the policy/proposal should be subject to and Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)?

Yes	
No	x



(7.4) Please give reasons for your decision and detail any mitigation considered.

Policy has been written to meet the needs of all equality categories.

No impact has been identified.

(8) Monitoring

Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy/proposal for equality of opportunity and good relations, disability duties and human rights?

Undertake training & feedback & using monitoring audit to assess the impact on relevant staff and clients.

Approved Lead Officer: Rosemary Donnelly

Position: Clinical Pharmacist

Date: 08/08/2016

Policy/proposal screened by: Rosemary Donnelly

Please forward completed schedule to:

Susan Thompson

Equality Manager
3 Church Street
Newtownards
BT23 4AN
Telephone: 028 9151 2177
Textphone: 028 9151 0137
e: susan.thompson@setrust.hscni.net

Suzanne McCartney

Equality Manager
Lagan Valley Hospital
Lisburn
BT28 1JP
Telephone: 028 9266 5141 ext 2604
Textphone: 028 9263 3522
e: suzanne.mccartney@setrust.hscni.net

Template produced December 2011