

Equality, Good Relations and Human Rights Screening Template

*****Completed Screening Templates are public documents and will be posted on the Trust's website*****

See [Guidance Notes](#) for further background information on the relevant legislation and for help in answering the questions on this template (follow the links).

(1) Information about the Policy/Proposal

(1.1) Name of the policy/proposal

Guideline for the Pharmacological Management of Patients with Stroke and TIA

(1.2) Is this a new, existing or revised policy/proposal?
Revised policy

(1.3) What is it trying to achieve (intended aims/outcomes)?

The purpose of this guideline is to provide SET staff with practical and relevant guidance on the pharmacological management of adult in-patients with acute stroke or TIA and secondary prevention of recurrent stroke.

(1.4) Are there any Section 75 categories (see list in 3.1) which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy/proposal?

All section 75 categories except paediatric patients as this guideline is applicable to adults only.

(1.5) Who owns and who implements the policy/proposal - where does it originate, for example DHSSPS, HSCB?

South Eastern Health and Social Care Trust (SET)



(1.6) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal/decision? (Financial, legislative or other constraints?)

No

(1.7) Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy/proposal/decision could impact upon? (staff, service users, other public sector organisations, , trade unions, professional bodies, independent sector, voluntary and community groups etc)

SET Medical staff

SET Pharmacy staff

SET Nursing staff

Service users

(1.8) Other policies with a bearing on this policy/proposal (for example regional policies) - what are they and who owns them?

- RCP National Clinical Guideline for Stroke 5thed (2016)
- NICE CG(68) Diagnosis and initial management of acute stroke and TIA (2008)
- NICE CG(92) Venous Thromboembolism: reducing the risk (2010) updated 2013 Addendum to CG92 Chapter 24 – stroke patients: Addendum 92.1 June 2015
- NICE CG(180) Atrial fibrillation: the management of atrial fibrillation (2014)
- NICE QS(93) Atrial fibrillation: treatment and management (2015)
- NICE CG(181) Lipid modification: cardiovascular risk assessment and the modification of blood lipids for the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease (2014)
- NICE TA(249) Dabigatran etexilate for the prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in AF (2012)
- NICE TA(256) Rivaroxaban for the prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in people with non-valvular AF (2012)
- NICE TA(275) Apixaban for preventing stroke and systemic embolism in people with non-valvular AF (2013)
- NICE TA (355) Edoxaban for preventing stroke and systemic embolism in people with non-valvular AF (2015)
- NICE CG (127) Hypertension: Clinical management of primary hypertension in adults (2011)



- NICE TA(210) Clopidogrel and modified-release dipyridamole for the prevention of occlusive events (2010)
- AHA/ASA Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients with Acute Ischaemic Stroke (2013)
- AHA/ASA Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Haemorrhage 2015
- European Stroke Organisation (ESO) Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Haemorrhage 2014

(2) Available evidence

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

Details of evidence/information

Normal Trust consultation process adhered to.

This guideline is based on the most current and best practice evidence relating to the pharmacological management of stroke/TIA as detailed in section 1.8. The NICE/RCP guidelines on which this guideline is based are reviewed by commissioners, clinicians, managers and service users to ensure that the guidelines meet the health and social care needs of service users and provide equality of opportunity for all.

(3) Needs, experiences and priorities

(3.1) Taking into account the information above what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the Section 75 categories and for both service users and staff.

Category	Needs, experiences and priorities	
	Service users	Staff
Gender	None	None
Age	None, but guideline does not apply to paediatric patients	None
Religion	None	None
Political Opinion	None	None
Marital Status	None	None
Dependent Status	None	None
Disability	None	None
Ethnicity	Trust will provide an interpreter if required	None
Sexual Orientation	None	None

(3.2) Provide details of how you have involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users and staff etc when screening this policy/proposal.

Normal Trust consultation process adhered to.

(4) Screening Questions

You now have to assess whether the impact of the policy/proposal is major, minor or none. You will need to make an informed judgement based on the information you have gathered.

(4.1) What is the likely impact of equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy/proposal, for each of the Section 75 equality categories?

Section 75 category	Details of policy/proposal impact		Level of impact? Minor/major/none
	Services Users	Staff	
Gender	N/A	N/A	None
Age	N/A	N/A	None
Religion	N/A	N/A	None
Political Opinion	N/A	N/A	None
Marital Status	N/A	N/A	None
Dependent Status	N/A	N/A	None
Disability	N/A	N/A	None
Ethnicity	N/A	N/A	None
Sexual Orientation	N/A	N/A	None

(4.2) Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories?

Section 75 category	Please provide details
Gender	No
Age	No
Religion	No
Political Opinion	No

Marital Status	No
Dependent Status	No
Disability	No
Ethnicity	No
Sexual Orientation	No

(4.3) To what extent is the policy/proposal likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? minor/major/none

Good relations category	Details of policy/proposal impact	Level of impact Minor/major/none
Religious belief	N/A	None
Political opinion	N/A	None
Racial group	N/A	None

(4.4) Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

Good relations category	Please provide details
Religious belief	No
Political opinion	No
Racial group	No

(5) Consideration of Disability Duties

(5.1) How does the policy/proposal encourage disabled people to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?

The Trust has in place a Disability Action Plan 2014 -2017 which addresses these two duties.

(6) Consideration of Human Rights

(6.1) Does the policy/proposal affect anyone's Human Rights?
Complete for each of the articles

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact = human right interfered with or restricted	Neutral impact
Article 2 – Right to life	×		
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			×
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			×
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			×
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			×
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law			×
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			×

Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			×
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			×
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			×
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			×
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			×
1 st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			×
1 st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			×

Please note: If you have identified potential negative impact in relation to any of the Articles in the table above, speak to your line manager and/or Equality Unit. It may also be necessary to seek legal advice.

(6.2) Please outline any actions you will take to promote awareness of human rights and evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making processes.
The Trust has a robust Equality, Diversity and Human Rights training strategy for all staff.

(7) Screening Decision

(7.1) Given the answers in Section 4, how would you categorise the impacts of this policy/proposal?

Major impact	
Minor impact	
No impact	×

(7.2) Do you consider the policy/proposal needs to be subjected to ongoing screening

Yes	
No	✗

(7.3) Do you think the policy/proposal should be subject to and Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)?

Yes	
No	✗

(7.4) Please give reasons for your decision and detail any mitigation considered.

This guideline is based on the most current and best available evidence relating to the pharmacological management of stroke/TIA and has been written to meet the needs of all equality categories.

No impact has been identified during the screening process.

(8) Monitoring

Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy/proposal for equality of opportunity and good relations, disability duties and human rights?

The Trust will monitor the implementation of the guideline for any potential adverse effects on patients.

Complaints or complements will be reviewed and appropriate action taken .

This guideline will be subject to review

- at least once every two years or
- when new legislation or guidance is introduced which affects the contents of the guideline



Approved Lead Officer: Helen Phillips
Position: Clinical Pharmacist
Date: 12/04/2017
Policy/proposal screened by: Helen Phillips

Please forward completed schedule to:

Susan Thompson

Equality Manager

3 Church Street

Newtownards

BT23 4AN

Telephone: 028 9151 2177

Textphone: 028 9151 0137

e: susan.thompson@setrust.hscni.net