



## Equality, Good Relations and Human Rights Screening Template

**\*\*\*Completed Screening Templates are public documents and will be posted on the Trust's website\*\*\***

See [Guidance Notes](#) for further background information on the relevant legislation and for help in answering the questions on this template (follow the links).

### **(1) Information about the Policy/Proposal**

(1.1) Name of the policy/proposal

Policy on sending for patients to theatre and endoscopy related suites – all sites

(1.2) Is this a new, existing or revised policy/proposal?

Revised policy

(1.3) What is it trying to achieve (intended aims/outcomes)?

The aim is to provide guidance to clinical staff on the process of sending for patients for theatre and endoscopy suites

(1.4) Are there any Section 75 categories (see list in 3.1) which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy/proposal?

No aware of any

(1.5) Who owns and who implements the policy/proposal - where does it originate, for example DHSSPS, HSCB?

(1.6) South Eastern Trust



(1.7) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal/decision? (Financial, legislative or other constraints?)

Not aware of any

(1.7) Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy/proposal/decision could impact upon? (staff, service users, other public sector organisations, , trade unions, professional bodies, independent sector, voluntary and community groups etc).

Patients, staff.

(1.8) Other policies with a bearing on this policy/proposal (for example regional policies) - what are they and who owns them?

As referenced in the policy



**(2) Available evidence**

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

<i>Details of evidence/information</i>
<b>Not applicable</b>

**(3) Needs, experiences and priorities**

(3.1) Taking into account the information above what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the Section 75 categories and for both service users and staff.

<i>Category</i>	<i>Needs, experiences and priorities</i>	
	<i>Service users</i>	<i>Staff</i>
Gender	na	na
Age	na	na
Religion	na	na
Political Opinion	na	na



Marital Status	na	na
Dependent Status	na	na
Disability	na	na
Ethnicity	na	na
Sexual Orientation	na	na

(3.2) Provide details of how you have involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users and staff etc when screening this policy/proposal.

This policy was circulated to relevant clinical and managerial staff Trust wide and is based on current professional guidance.

#### (4) Screening Questions

You now have to assess whether the impact of the policy/proposal is major, minor or none. You will need to make an informed judgement based on the information you have gathered.

<b>(4.1) What is the likely impact of equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy/proposal, for each of the Section 75 equality categories?</b>			
<b>Section 75 category</b>	<b>Details of policy/proposal impact</b>		<b>Level of impact? Minor/major/none</b>
	<b>Services Users</b>	<b>Staff</b>	
Gender			na
Age			na
Religion			na

Political Opinion		Na
Marital Status		Na
Dependent Status		Na
Disability		Na
Ethnicity		Na
Sexual Orientation		Na

<b>(4.2) Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories?</b>	
<b>Section 75 category</b>	<b>Please provide details</b>
Gender	Na
Age	Na
Religion	Na
Political Opinion	Na
Marital Status	Na
Dependent Status	Na
Disability	Na
Ethnicity	Na
Sexual Orientation	Na



**(4.3) To what extent is the policy/proposal likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? minor/major/none**

<b>Good relations category</b>	<b>Details of policy/proposal impact</b>	<b>Level of impact Minor/major/none</b>
Religious belief		Na
Political opinion		Na
Racial group		Na

**(4.4) Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?**

<b>Good relations category</b>	<b>Please provide details</b>
Religious belief	Na
Political opinion	Na
Racial group	Na

**(5) Consideration of Disability Duties**

**(5.1) How does the policy/proposal encourage disabled people to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?**

Na
----



**(6) Consideration of Human Rights**

**(6.1) Does the policy/proposal affect anyone's Human Rights?**  
Complete for each of the articles

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact = human right interfered with or restricted	Neutral impact
Article 2 – Right to life			X
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			X
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			X
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			X
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			X
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law			X
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			X
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			X
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			X
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			X
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			X
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			X
1 <sup>st</sup> protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			X
1 <sup>st</sup> protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			X



**Please note: If you have identified potential negative impact in relation to any of the Articles in the table above, speak to your line manager and/or Equality Unit. It may also be necessary to seek legal advice.**

(6.2) Please outline any actions you will take to promote awareness of human rights and evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making processes.

na

**(7) Screening Decision**

**(7.1) Given the answers in Section 4, how would you categorise the impacts of this policy/proposal?**

Major impact	
Minor impact	
No impact	x

**(7.2) Do you consider the policy/proposal needs to be subjected to ongoing screening**

Yes	
No	x

**(7.3) Do you think the policy/proposal should be subject to and Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)?**

Yes	
No	x





(7.4) Please give reasons for your decision and detail any mitigation considered.

The author does not feel that this policy impacts on any of the categories detailed.

**(8) Monitoring**

Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy/proposal for equality of opportunity and good relations, disability duties and human rights?

Na

Approved Lead Officer:

Mary Byss

Position:

Clinical Co-ordinator

Date:

29/11/17

Policy/proposal screened by:

M Byss

**Please forward completed schedule to:**

**Susan Thompson**  
Equality Manager  
Lough House, Ards Hospital  
Newtownards  
BT23 4AS

**Suzanne McCartney**  
Equality Manager  
Lagan Valley Hospital  
Lisburn  
BT28 1JP



**South Eastern Health  
and Social Care Trust**

Telephone: 028 9151 2177  
Textphone: 028 9151 0137  
e: [susan.thompson@setrust.hscni.net](mailto:susan.thompson@setrust.hscni.net)

Telephone: 028 9266 5141 ext 2604  
Textphone: 028 9263 3522  
e: [suzanne.mccartney@setrust.hscni.net](mailto:suzanne.mccartney@setrust.hscni.net)