

Equality, Good Relations and Human Rights Screening Template

*****Completed Screening Templates are public documents and will be posted on the Trust's website*****

See [Guidance Notes](#) for further background information on the relevant legislation and for help in answering the questions on this template (follow the links).

(1) Information about the Policy/Proposal

(1.1) Name of the policy/proposal

Regional Unlicensed Medicines Policy

(1.2) Is this a new, existing or revised policy/proposal?

Revised

(1.3) What is it trying to achieve (intended aims/outcomes)?

This document ensures that the responsibilities and actions required of healthcare workers in relation to the prescribing, procurement, storage, supply and administration of unlicensed medicinal products are clear. This will help to minimise the risks to patients, to healthcare staff and to the organisation when the use of an unlicensed medicine is necessary.

(1.4) Are there any Section 75 categories (see list in 3.1) which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy/proposal? No

(1.4) Who owns and who implements the policy/proposal - where does it originate, for example DHSSPS, HSCB?

Originates from Medicines Legislation and any learning letters, medicines



guidance from DHSSPSNI and HSCB. Owned by Regional Pharmacy Unlicensed Medicines Group

(1.5) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal/decision? (Financial, legislative or other constraints?)

No

(1.7) Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy/proposal/decision could impact upon? (staff, service users, other public sector organisations, , trade unions, professional bodies, independent sector, voluntary and community groups etc)

Nursing, medical, pharmacy, service users and professional bodies

(1.8) Other policies with a bearing on this policy/proposal (for example regional policies) - what are they and who owns them?

SET Medicines Policy

(2) Available evidence

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

<i>Details of evidence/information</i>
<p>Evidence from professional best practice guidance and Medicines Legislation.</p>

(3) Needs, experiences and priorities

(3.1) Taking into account the information above what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the Section 75 categories and for both service users and staff.

Category	Needs, experiences and priorities	
	Service users	Staff
Gender	None	None
Age	None	None
Religion	None	None
Political Opinion	None	None

Marital Status	None	None
Dependent Status	None	None
Disability	None	None
Ethnicity	None	None
Sexual Orientation	None	None

(3.2) Provide details of how you have involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users and staff etc when screening this policy/proposal.

Policy sent out for trustwide consultation

(4) Screening Questions

You now have to assess whether the impact of the policy/proposal is major, minor or none. You will need to make an informed judgement based on the information you have gathered.

(4.1) What is the likely impact of equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy/proposal, for each of the Section 75 equality categories?

Section 75 category	Details of policy/proposal impact		Level of impact? Minor/major/none
	Services Users	Staff	
Gender			None
Age			None
Religion			None

Political Opinion			None
Marital Status			None
Dependent Status			None
Disability			None
Ethnicity			None
Sexual Orientation			None

<i>(4.2) Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories?</i>	
<i>Section 75 category</i>	<i>Please provide details</i>
Gender	No
Age	No
Religion	No
Political Opinion	No
Marital Status	No
Dependent Status	No
Disability	No
Ethnicity	No
Sexual Orientation	No

(4.3) To what extent is the policy/proposal likely to impact on good

<i>relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? minor/major/none</i>		
<i>Good relations category</i>	<i>Details of policy/proposal impact</i>	<i>Level of impact Minor/major/none</i>
Religious belief		None
Political opinion		None
Racial group		None

<i>(4.4) Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?</i>	
<i>Good relations category</i>	<i>Please provide details</i>
Religious belief	No
Political opinion	No
Racial group	No

(5) Consideration of Disability Duties

<i>(5.1) How does the policy/proposal encourage disabled people to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?</i>
No impact

(6) Consideration of Human Rights

(6.1) Does the policy/proposal affect anyone's Human Rights?

Complete for each of the articles

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact = human right interfered with or restricted	Neutral impact
Article 2 – Right to life			√
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			√
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			√
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			√
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			√
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law			√
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			√
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			√
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			√
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			√
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			√
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			√
1 st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			√
1 st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			√

Please note: If you have identified potential negative impact in relation to any of the Articles in the table above, speak to your line manager and/or Equality Unit. It may also be necessary to seek legal advice.

(6.2) Please outline any actions you will take to promote awareness of human rights and evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making processes.

(7) Screening Decision

(7.1) Given the answers in Section 4, how would you categorise the impacts of this policy/proposal?

Major impact	
Minor impact	
No impact	√

(7.2) Do you consider the policy/proposal needs to be subjected to ongoing screening

Yes	
No	√

(7.3) Do you think the policy/proposal should be subject to and Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)?

Yes	
No	√



(7.4) Please give reasons for your decision and detail any mitigation considered.

(8) Monitoring

Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy/proposal for equality of opportunity and good relations, disability duties and human rights?

Any medication incidents are reviewed and coded by the medicines governance pharmacist who provides quarterly reports. If any issues relating to the policy are highlighted the policy will be reviewed prior to the review date.

Approved Lead Officer: Jill Macintyre

Position: Head of Pharmacy and Medicines Management

Date: 14/10/16

Policy/proposal screened by: _____

Please forward completed schedule to:

Susan Thompson
Equality Manager
Lough House, Ards Hospital
Newtownards
BT23 4AS
Telephone: 028 9151 2177
Textphone: 028 9151 0137

Suzanne McCartney
Equality Manager
Lagan Valley Hospital
Lisburn
BT28 1JP
Telephone: 028 9266 5141 ext 2604
Textphone: 028 9263 3522



South Eastern Health
and Social Care Trust

e: susan.thompson@setrust.hscni.net

e: suzanne.mccartney@setrust.hscni.net