

Equality, Good Relations and Human Rights Screening Template

*****Completed Screening Templates are public documents and will be posted on the Trust's website*****

See [Guidance Notes](#) for further background information on the relevant legislation and for help in answering the questions on this template (follow the links).

(1) Information about the Policy/Proposal

(1.1) Guideline for Nurse-Led Acute Oncology Review (face to face and telephone).

(1.2) Is this a new, existing or revised policy/proposal?

This is a new guideline adopted by the SEHSCT.

(1.3) What is it trying to achieve (intended aims/outcomes)?

The aim of this guideline is to formalise and standardise the AO nurse led review process of patients known to AOS following discharge from hospital or when admission to hospital has been avoided.

(1.4) Are there any Section 75 categories (see list in 3.1) which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy/proposal?

All categories outlined in Section 75 will benefit from the policy

(1.5) Who owns and who implements the policy/proposal - where does it originate, for example DHSSPS, HSCB?

This guideline was developed by the Acute Oncology Team at the SEHSCT.



(1.6) Are there any factors that could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/proposal/decision? (Financial, legislative or other constraints?)

There are no specific key constraints that could detract from the intended aim or outcome.

(1.7) Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy/proposal/decision could impact upon? (staff, service users, other public sector organisations, , trade unions, professional bodies, independent sector, voluntary and community groups etc)

- Patients
- MacDermott staff
- Oncology/Haematology Consultants
- Trust Cancer Managers
- Medical staff
- ED staff
- GPs
- Palliative Care Team
- Oncology teams at NICC

(1.8) Other policies with a bearing on this policy/proposal (for example regional policies) - what are they and who owns them?

1. NICaN Acute Oncology Clinical Guidelines (2015)
2. NICaN Checkpoint Inhibitor Immunotherapy Toxicity Management Guidelines (2018)

(2) Available evidence

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

<i>Details of evidence/information</i>
1. NICAacute Oncology Clinical Guidelines (2015)
2. NICAacute Checkpoint Inhibitor Immunotherapy Toxicity Management Guidelines (2018)
3. Acute Oncology Annual Report 2021.

(3) Needs, experiences and priorities

(3.1) Taking into account the information above what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the Section 75 categories and for both service users and staff.

Category	Needs, experiences and priorities	
	Service users	Staff
Gender	Female 51.25% Male 48.75%	Female 83% Male 17%
Age	All users of this protocol are over 16 Patients less than 16 years of age require separate guidance 80% of service users in SE Trust area are over 16	16-24 5 % 25-34 30% 35-44 25% 45-54 25% 55-64 14% 65+ 1% .
Religion	Protestant 50.52% Roman Catholic 27.90% Other 0.82%	Protestant 43% Roman Catholic 21% Other/Not Known 36%

	None 14.65% Not Known 6.11%	
Political Opinion	Not collected Local council voting preferences are considered with 2 out of 3 council areas return a unionist majority	Broadly Unionist 3% Broadly Nationalist 10% Do not wish to answer 13% Other 6% Not known 68% Local council voting preferences are considered with 2 out of 3 council areas return a unionist majority
Marital Status	Single 31.7% Married 51.64% Divorced 6.01% Widowed 6.85% Separated 3.70% Other 0.1%	Single 32.71% Married 61.13% Divorced 2.25% Widowed 0.43% Separated 1.04% Other 0.5% Not known 1.94%
Dependent Status	Households with dependent children 33.38%	Child or children 18% Dependant older 3% A person with disability 2% None 12% Other/not known 65%
Disability	Household with one or more persons with a limiting long term illness 19.82%	Not known 67% No 31% Yes 1%
Ethnicity	Black African Black African 0.1% Irish Traveller 0.04% Bangladeshi 0.06% Pakistani 0.04% Black Caribbean 0.03% Mixed Ethnic Group 0.35% Chinese 0.26 % White 98.50% Indian 0.25% Other 0.3 % Filipino 0.06%	Black African 0.1% Irish Traveller 0.08% Bangladeshi 0.03% Pakistani 0.03% Black Caribbean 0.03% Mixed Ethnic Group 0.13% Chinese 0.18% White 35.26% Indian 0.66% Other 0.61% Filipino 0.45% Not known 62.47%
Sexual Orientation	Estimated 10% of population is LGBT equates to estimated 168,527 of the NI population i.e. possibly one in 10 in terms of clientele/service user– Data source Rainbow Project July 2008	Opposite sex 29.9% Do not wish to answer 1.18% Not known 67.9% LGBT 0.48%

(3.2) Provide details of how you have involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users and staff etc when screening this policy/proposal.

This guideline has been developed in consultation with the:

- Acute Oncology Consultant
- Feedback received from medical Consultant, Emergency Medicine Consultant & Palliative Care Consultant.

(4) Screening Questions

You now have to assess whether the impact of the policy/proposal is major, minor or none. You will need to make an informed judgement based on the information you have gathered.

(4.1) What is the likely impact of equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy/proposal, for each of the Section 75 equality categories?

Section 75 category	Details of policy/proposal impact		Level of impact? Minor/major/none
	Services Users	Staff	
Gender	Female 51.25% Male 48.75%	Female 83% Male 17%	None/None
Age	All users of this protocol are over 1 Patients less than 16 years of age require separate guidance 80% of service users in SE Trust area are over 16	16-24 5 % 25-34 30% 35-44 25% 45-54 25% 55-64 14% 65+ 1% .	None/None
Religion	Protestant 50.52% Roman Catholic 27.90% Other 0.82% None 14.65% Not Known 6.11%	Protestant 43% Roman Catholic 21% Other/Not Known 36%	None/None
Political Opinion	Not collected Local council voting preferences are considered with 2 out of 3 council areas return a unionist majority	Broadly Unionist 3% Broadly Nationalist 10% Do not wish to answer 13% Other 6% Not known 68% Local council voting preferences are considered with 2 out of 3 council areas return a unionist majority	None/None
Marital	Single 31.7%	Single 32.71%	None/None

Status	Married 51.64% Divorced 6.01% Widowed 6.85% Separated 3.70% Other 0.1%	Married 61.13% Divorced 2.25% Widowed 0.43% Separated 1.04% Other 0.5% Not known 1.94%	
Dependent Status	Households with dependent children 33.38%	Child or children 18% Dependant older 3% A person with disability 2% None 12% Other/not known 65%	None/None
Disability	Household with one or more persons with a limiting long term illness 19.82%	Not known 67% No 31% Yes 1%	None/None
Ethnicity	Black African 0.1% Irish Traveller 0.04% Bangladeshi 0.06% Pakistani 0.04% Black Caribbean 0.03% Mixed Ethnic Group 0.35% Chinese 0.26 % White 98.50% Indian 0.25% Other 0.3 % Filipino 0.06%	Black African 0.1% Irish Traveller 0.08% Bangladeshi 0.03% Pakistani 0.03% Black Caribbean 0.03% Mixed Ethnic Group 0.13% Chinese 0.18% White 35.26% Indian 0.66% Other 0.61% Filipino 0.45% Not known 62.47%	None/None
Sexual Orientation	Estimated 10% of population is LGBT equates to estimated 168,527 of the NI population i.e. possibly one in 10 in terms of clientele/service user– Data source Rainbow Project July 2008	Opposite sex 29.9% Do not wish to answer 1.18% Not known 67.9% LGBT 0.48%	None/None

(4.2) Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories?

Section 75 category	Please provide details
Gender	The Trust remains committed to embracing diversity, promoting good relations and challenging sectarianism and racism to ensure service users and staff enjoy equality of opportunity and access to services in a welcoming and safe environment.

	The Trust has an ongoing strategy of staff training and engagement
Age	As above
Religion	As above
Political Opinion	As above
Marital Status	As above
Dependent Status	As above
Disability	As above
Ethnicity	As above
Sexual Orientation	As above

(4.3) To what extent is the policy/proposal likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? minor/major/none

<i>Good relations category</i>	<i>Details of policy/proposal impact</i>	<i>Level of impact Minor/major/none</i>
Religious belief	N/A	None
Political opinion	N/A	None
Racial group	The information will be provided in alternate languages and formats on request	None

(4.4) Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

Good relations category	Please provide details
Religious belief	N/A
Political opinion	N/A
Racial group	The Trust provides telephone and face to face interpreting services as requested. The Trust also provides information in alternate formats on request and if a need is identified.

(5) Consideration of Disability Duties

(5.1) How does the policy/proposal encourage disabled people to participate in public life and promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?

The policy enables disabled people to access the same services as able bodied people.

- The Trust Disability Action Plan 2018 – 2023 promotes these two duties
- Consideration has been given to the profile of staff and/or service users affected by the proposal including those with a disability
- No specific issues were identified in this proposal re disability duties

(6) Consideration of Human Rights

(6.1) Does the policy/proposal affect anyone's Human Rights?

Complete for each of the articles

Article	Positive impact	Negative impact = human right interfered with or restricted	Neutral impact
Article 2 – Right to life			X
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			X

Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			X
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			X
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			X
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law			X
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			X
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			X
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			X
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			X
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			X
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			X
1 st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			X
1 st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			X

Please note: If you have identified potential negative impact in relation to any of the Articles in the table above, speak to your line manager and/or Equality Unit. It may also be necessary to seek legal advice.

(6.2) Please outline any actions you will take to promote awareness of human rights and evidence that human rights have been taken into consideration in decision making processes.

Human rights awareness is provided through:

Trust corporate induction

E learning

Update sessions

Face to face

(7) Screening Decision



(7.1) Given the answers in Section 4, how would you categorise the impacts of this policy/proposal?

Major impact	
Minor impact	
No impact	X

(7.2) Do you consider the policy/proposal needs to be subjected to ongoing screening

Yes	
No	X

(7.3) Do you think the policy/proposal should be subject to and Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)?

Yes	
No	X

(7.4) Please give reasons for your decision and detail any mitigation considered.

The guideline has been written to meet the needs of all nine equality categories. The Trust will monitor the implementation and impact of the guideline.

(8) Monitoring

Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy/proposal for equality of opportunity and good relations, disability duties and human rights?

- Monitoring patient complaints/compliments/ IR1 forms
- Feedback from staff
- Feedback from User forum and patient satisfaction surveys



Approved Lead Officer: Caroline Lynas
Position: Clinical Co-ordinator Cancer Services
Date: _____
Policy/proposal screened by: Caroline Lynas

Please forward completed schedule to:

Susan Thompson
Equality Manager
Lough House, Ards Hospital
Newtownards
BT23 4AS
Telephone: 028 9151 2177
Textphone: 028 9151 0137
e: susan.thompson@setrust.hscni.net